Evaluating Autonomous Vehicles' Safety Benefits in Mixed Autonomy Scenarios

Carlee Joe-Wong, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0785-9291
Osman Yağan, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7057-2966
I-Cheng Lin, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5306-3262

FINAL REPORT

August 1, 2024

1. Report No. N/A	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.		
4. Title and Subtitle Evaluating Autonomous Vehicles' Safety Benefits in Mixed Autonomy Scenarios		5. Report Date August 1, 2024		
		6. Performing Organization Code N/A		

7. Author(s)
   Carlee Joe-Wong, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0785-9291
   Osman Yağan, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7057-2966
   I-Cheng Lin, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5306-3262
		8. Performing Organization Report No.
		N/A		

9. Performing Organization Name and Address Carnegie Mellon University 5000 Forbes Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15213		10. Work Unit No.		
		11. Contract or Grant No. Federal Grant No. 69A3552344811		
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Safety21 University Transportation Center Carnegie Mellon University 5000 Forbes Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15213		13. Type of Report and Period Covered Final Report (July 1, 2023-June 30, 2024)		
		14. Sponsoring Agency Code USDOT		
15. Supplementary Notes Conducted in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration.				
16. Abstract Connected autonomous vehicles (CAVs) are gradually advancing towards widespread deployments. CAVs promise to improve transportation safety by operating more efficiently and avoiding incidents like crashes due to human driver error. However, they may cause crashes or other safety incidents themselves, especially when interacting with humans. Our work has three parts: (i) estimating the effective incident rates of CAVs and how they are distributed across a city; (ii) incorporating CAVs' and human drivers' ability to react to human pedestrians; and (iii) evaluating our models and analysis in our mixed-autonomy simulator for a variety of road topologies. The main conclusion of our project is that safety dynamics with CAVs are complex and difficult to predict, requiring sophisticated simulators that are flexible enough to model a range of CAV and human driver behavior. Even complex reinforcement learning models, which can theoretically capture different vehicle objectives and complex decision-making, can struggle to accurately capture vehicle behavior and traffic dynamics, due to the complexity of training such models.				
17. Key Words Autonomous vehicles, Mixed-autonomy, Safety		18. Distribution Statement No restrictions.		
19. Security Classif. (of this report) Unclassified		20. Security Classif. (of this page) Unclassified	21. No. of Pages 6	22. Price

Problem Description

Connected autonomous vehicles (CAVs) are gradually advancing towards widespread deployments. CAVs promise to improve transportation safety by operating more efficiently and avoiding incidents like crashes due to human driver error. However, they may cause crashes or other safety incidents themselves, especially when interacting with humans. For example, Cruise famously was forced to pause its testing of driverless vehicles when one such vehicle appeared to severely injure a pedestrian in San Francisco. On a systemic level, CAVs may encourage more risky behavior, as CAVs may not be able to accurately predict human actions, or humans may overestimate CAVs' capabilities of avoiding safety incidents, leading to their taking riskier actions.

As CAVs advance towards larger-scale deployments, we can expect that they will share the road with human-driven vehicles and human bicyclists and pedestrians. Thus, from a safety perspective, it is important to understand how CAVs will interact with humans and in particular whether their presence will have a significant effect on safety. These effects can be both direct and indirect: for example, if CAVs increase the density of vehicles on roads, then these vehicles (both CAVs and human-driven vehicles) may be more likely to get into accidents themselves, due to the increased density of traffic. Conversely, if CAVs can facilitate smoother flow of traffic, thus reducing the overall likelihood of traffic accidents, then they may have indirect positive benefits on the overall rate of vehicular accidents and thus safety. The goal of this project is to evaluate the potential safety benefits of CAVs in mixed-autonomy settings, in which CAVs and human vehicles share the road.

Approach and Methodology

Our work has three parts: (i) estimating the effective incident rates of CAVs and how they are distributed across a city; (ii) incorporating CAVs' and human drivers' ability to react to human pedestrians; and (iii) evaluating our models and analysis in our mixed-autonomy simulator for a variety of road topologies.

Our approach is fundamentally simulation-based, as it is difficult to accurately model the dynamics of human and CAV interactions at the scale of a city with hundreds or thousands of vehicles operational at any given time. We draw from existing models of vehicle flow, which typically use microscopic models for individual vehicle behavior and macroscopic models to model a network of interacting vehicles. Neither are a good fit for our work, as we would like to model how individual vehicle decisions (whether made by human drivers or CAVs) affect the overall dynamics of vehicular traffic and thus vehicular safety. We therefore take a hybrid, hierarchical approach that divides the road network into smaller "cells." Figure 1 illustrates this cell-based approach. Vehicles in the same cell are in close physical proximity and thus may directly interact with each

other. Since there are relatively few vehicles in each cell, we can use microscopic models for their interactions. These interactions can then be summarized with cell-level statistics (e.g., average speed, number of vehicles) that determine how each cell is affected by its neighboring cells and thus the overall dynamics of the road network.

Figure 1: Illustration of our cell-driven model that we use to simulate the actions of connected autonomous vehicles and human-driven vehicles sharing a road.

While our cell-level model is more tractable than using microscopic models of each individual vehicle and extrapolating to the overall road network, it is still difficult to directly track each vehicle's actions in this model. Thus, instead of seeking closed-form solutions, we utilize reinforcement learning simulations to model the states, actions, and rewards experienced by individual vehicles and cell-level dynamics. Using simulations allows us to model a much larger-scale network, with many distinct cells along different roads. Reinforcement learning provides a flexible decision framework that allows us to define different notions of "safety" by changing the reward function, e.g., we can compare outcomes if vehicles aim to optimize their own safety or overall travel times compared to whether they selfishly aim to minimize their individual travel times. We can further model human pedestrians by incorporating their presence into the states of individual vehicles, which then affects those vehicles' actions.

Findings

To estimate the effective incident rates of CAVs given how they are distributed around a city, we built on the mixed autonomy simulator that we created in a previous Mobility21 project. We aimed to incorporate safety concerns into the simulator, and in particular to evaluate how CAVs could change their behavior in mixed-autonomy settings with human-driven vehicles.

Towards our first objective of estimating effective incident rates of CAVs, we found that there is little reliable data on CAV-involved traffic accidents, due to their limited deployment. Thus, we decided to make our simulator flexible to different vehicle incident statistics, allowing them to be input into the simulation.

Towards our second and third objectives, our original mixed-autonomy simulator assumed that CAVs chose their movements around a city so as to minimize congestion and that human-driven vehicles took fixed routes with random perturbations. We extended the simulator to incorporate arbitrary CAV objectives, which will enable us to include different forms of safety. We have also added the ability to discretize CAV and human-driven vehicles' actions, which

Figure 2: Illustration of a network satisfying Braess's paradox, in which lower traffic volumes can increase travel time from the origins (A, B, C) to destination D.

will allow us to have CAVs more easily learn how to optimize more complex objectives, including safety concerns, in environments that include complex safety-relevant characteristics like vehicle incident frequency.

We used this simulator to evaluate whether our simulated vehicular behavior matches known behavior that has been observed in practice. For example, Figure 2 illustrates Braess's paradox, in which decreasing traffic volume leads to increased travel time for vehicles, given that each vehicle acts so as to myopically minimize its individual travel time. We find that our simulator is able to reproduce this behavior, but that introducing CAVs whose goal is to minimize collective travel times for all vehicles, can resolve the paradox as long as a sufficient proportion of the vehicles are CAVs, as one would intuitively expect. Thus, our simulation results are consistent with prior findings, lending credence to its ability to simulate CAV actions.

Conclusions

The main conclusion of our project is that safety dynamics with CAVs are complex and difficult to predict, requiring sophisticated simulators that are flexible enough to model a range of CAV and human driver behavior. Even complex reinforcement learning models, which can theoretically capture different vehicle objectives and complex decision-making, can struggle to accurately capture vehicle behavior and traffic dynamics, due to the complexity of training such models. Thus, having more data to

train these models is essential to developing realistic, safety-aware mixed-autonomy simulators. Finding such data in practice, however, is challenging, due to the low current CAV penetration rate. The dynamics of vehicle traffic can also depend heavily on specific road topologies, making it difficult to generalize findings on safety from one environment to another. Future work may include characterizing the types of road networks that have similar safety and vehicular traffic characteristics in mixed-autonomy settings.

Project Team

PI: Carlee Joe-Wong, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0785-9291
Co-PI: Osman Yağan, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7057-2966
PhD Student: I-Cheng Lin, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5306-3262