



A USDOT NATIONAL  
UNIVERSITY TRANSPORTATION CENTER

Carnegie Mellon University



THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY



---

## Incentive Design in Ride Sharing Platforms

Alexandre Jacquillat

*ORCID: [orcid.org/0000-0002-2352-7839](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2352-7839)*

FINAL RESEARCH REPORT

---

Contract # 69A3551747111

### DISCLAIMER

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors, who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the information presented herein. This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation's University Transportation Centers Program, in the interest of information exchange. The U.S. Government assumes no liability for the contents or use thereof.

## **Mobility 21**

### **Incentive Design in Ride Sharing Platforms**

#### **Accomplishments**

Despite their short history, ride sharing platforms have quickly become a widespread phenomenon, and have profound effects on urban transportation systems. At the same time, the ultimate benefits of these platforms might be hindered by mismatches between supply and demand at peak times (temporal mismatch) or in some locations (spatial mismatch). This motivates the design of incentive systems to align the behaviors of riders and drivers, to make the economic and operational outcomes more consistent with agents' preferences.

On-demand ride-sharing differs from traditional transportation systems in three aspects. First, ride-sharing manages demand-supply imbalances in real-time (as opposed to transit scheduling). Second, ride-sharing relies on the online matching of riders and sellers (as opposed to first-come first-served operations in taxi systems). Third, online payment capabilities enable real-time personalized pricing schemes (e.g., surge pricing applies differentiated prices based on spatial- temporal characteristics of rider requests and driver supply).

This project proposes a novel economic mechanism that leverages these new capabilities to improve the performance of ride-sharing systems and urban mobility. From a practical standpoint, the mechanism works as follows. Rider A and Rider B request a ride at the same time from the same origin to the same destination. Rider A is in a hurry, and thus willing to pay a premium for getting a ride right away. Rider B does not mind waiting, but would love a discount if possible. In the current state of operations, ride-sharing platforms cannot differentiate prices and services among the two riders. So Rider B may get a ride before Rider A! The proposed mechanism, in contrast, enables Riders A and B to let the platform know about their preferences, which enables the platform to account for them when allocating rides.

This mechanism augments existing surge pricing practices when riders are heterogeneous. Indeed, surge pricing relies exclusively on public information, and may thus result in lost revenue opportunities and mismatches between service offers and customers' expectations. Instead, the proposed mechanism elicits customer preferences to provide personalized pricing and service levels.

This mechanism also augments static screening menus considered in the prior literature. Static screening menus propose different options to customers (e.g., a high-priority service at a price premium and a low-priority service at a price discount) but do not update the menu's parameters as a function of the system's dynamics. Instead, the proposed mechanism tailors service offerings and prices as a function of rider preferences, driver availability, and the system's history.

As such, the proposed mechanism enhances existing practices and the prior academic literature by providing discriminated prices and service levels across heterogeneous customers and by adjusting the menu of prices and service levels over time depending on realized endogenous and exogenous contingencies.

The design and optimization of the proposed mechanism is achieved through a theoretical economics model that optimizes the platform's profits, subject to individual rationality, incentive compatibility and capacity constraints.

The insights from this project are as follows:

- Ride-sharing platforms can use the *timing* of rides strategically for: (i) smoothing out demand-supply imbalances, and (ii) discriminating service levels across time-sensitive and price-sensitive riders.
- There exist instances where the optimal price of a ride is higher under low demand than under high demand, due to the dual objectives of managing demand-supply imbalances and discriminating across heterogeneous riders.
- Under strong rider heterogeneity, the mechanism does not maximize social welfare but the platform captures all the surplus generated. Under weak rider heterogeneity, the mechanism maximizes social welfare but the platform leaves some surplus to the riders as information rent.
- In a dynamic mechanism, optimal prices do not necessarily increase with demand. To see the intuition behind this result, consider an instance where, under low demand, the platform delays service to price-sensitive riders to: (i) charge a higher price to time-sensitive riders, (ii) serve delayed riders, and (iii) create a demand backlog for the next period. Under high demand, the same strategy would result in an even larger demand backlog, which the platform may not be able to fulfill in the next period. Instead, the platform may thus provide more timely services to price-sensitive riders, and hence charge a lower price to time-sensitive riders to maintain incentive compatibility.
- As compared to surge pricing, the mechanism increases the platform's profit. Moreover, by making the allocation decisions more consistent with customer preferences, it may *also* induce a higher customer surplus, thus providing a Pareto improvement.
- The optimal mechanism also increases the platform's profits, as compared to a static menu of prices and service levels—thus showing the benefits of dynamically adjusting the service offerings and prices as a function of the state of the system.
- We propose an intermediate mechanism that is history-independent but dynamically adjusts the menu with incoming demand. This new mechanism is less information-intensive than the optimal mechanism, but is shown to achieve close-to-optimal outcomes—thus providing an easily implementable approximation of the optimal mechanism.

## Impacts

The outcomes of this project include:

- New theoretical economics model for on-demand ride-sharing and analytical results characterizing optimal ride-sharing operations, as a function of rider heterogeneity and demand-supply imbalances faced by the platform.
- New insights on the performance of on-demand platforms, and opportunities for personalized pricing and service levels.

Results suggest opportunities to enhance the economic and operational performance of ride-sharing platforms by eliciting customer preferences and adjusting prices and service levels accordingly. The insights from this project are in line with recent industrial developments such as Uber Pool, Uber Express Pool and Lyft Line. These provide differentiated services that implicitly account for heterogeneity in time preferences. In this project, we design a mechanism that explicitly achieves similar objectives without resorting to the development of new products or services. In fact, Kakao Taxi, the dominant ride-sharing company in Korea, recently launched a new option to enable fast pickup at a price premium. This provides a prime example of the type of time discriminatory mechanism proposed here. Ultimately, this project can inform the design of pricing and service levels for ride-sharing platforms to make them more consistent with riders' and drivers' preferences while increasing profits, thus increasing the overall performance of on-demand urban transportation systems.